rayny

Palladium-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling of Benzyl Chlorides with Cyclopropanol-Derived Ketone Homoenolates

Nisha Nithiy and Arturo Orellana*

Department of Chemistry, York Universit[y 4](#page-2-0)700 Keele Street, Toronto, ON M3J 1P3, Canada

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-2-0)STRACT: [The palladiu](#page-2-0)m-catalyzed cross-coupling reaction of cyclopropanol-derived ketone homoenolates with benzyl chlorides is reported. This reaction proceeds in high yields with electron-neutral and electron-rich benzyl chlorides; however, yields are low with electron-poor benzyl chlorides. In addition, a range of cyclopropanols can be coupled in good

yields. The reaction can be conducted with a low catalyst loading (1% Pd) and on a gram scale without reduction in yield.

M odern synthetic organic chemistry benefits tremendously
from advances in transition-metal-catalyzed reactions.
Palladium catalyzis in particular has revolutionized the way we Palladium catalysis in particular has revolutionized the way we construct small molecules. Well-established palladium-catalyzed reactions (named reactions) require the use of an oxidative addition partner and an organometallic reagent (Kumada, Suzuki− Miyaura, Stille, Negishi, Hiyama), a double bond (Heck), a terminal alkyne (Sonogashira), or a heteroatom (Buchwald− Hartwig). More recently, there have been significant advances that exploit the ubiquitous C−H bond as a functional group. Considerably less attention has been paid to the use of unusual functional groups in the development of new palladiumcatalyzed reactions.

The use of umpolung 1 reagents in organic synthesis can yield access to unusual retrosynthetic disconnections and lead to more efficient synthesis. [H](#page-2-0)omoenolates 2 are an important class of umpolung synthons that bear a charge affinity pattern opposite to that of ketones, esters, amides, etc. Alt[ho](#page-2-0)ugh some homoenolates have been prepared by direct deprotonation of a ketone at the β -position,³ these methods are exceedingly rare and require harsh reaction conditions. Furthermore, a useful homoenolate synthon [mu](#page-2-0)st balance the nucleophilicity of the homoenolate carbon with the electrophilicity of the carbonyl group. This problem can be circumvented by the use of protecting group strategies.⁴ However, the protection and deprotection steps required lead to longer synthesis⁵ and may result in unexpected complica[tio](#page-2-0)ns. As a result, there has been a sustained effort to develop practical homoenolate e[qu](#page-2-0)ivalents that avoid protecting group chemistry. The advent of N-heterocyclic carbenes has enabled the catalytic generation of aldehyde homoenolates form α , β -unsaturated aldehydes.⁶ In contrast, the catalytic generation of ketone homoenolates remains a challenge.

We have been interest[ed](#page-2-0) in developing palladium-catalyzed reactions involving strained tertiary alcohols,⁷ especially cyclopropanols. In particular, we aim to exploit the catalytic conversi[o](#page-2-0)n of cyclopropanols to palladium homoenolates⁸ in new carbon−carbon bond-forming reactions.⁹ In 2011, we disclosed¹⁰ the first palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling r[ea](#page-2-0)ction of cyclopropanol derived ketones homoenola[te](#page-3-0)s with aryl bromides

and iodides, and in 2013, 11 we expanded scope to homoenolates bearing β -hydrogens rel[ativ](#page-3-0)e to palladium (eq 1). Walsh¹² has

ketone homoenolates: Orellana and Rosa 2011, 2013

$$
HO
$$
\n
$$
PH
$$

aldehyde homoenolates: Walsh and Cheng 2013

$$
H^{10}M_{\text{Pl}}^{Br} + \underbrace{\phantom{H^{10}M^{0}}\longrightarrow} \mathcal{F}G \xrightarrow{\text{Pd catalyst}} H^{10}M_{\text{Pl}}^{O} \xrightarrow{\hspace{0.5cm} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}} \mathcal{F}G \hspace{0.5cm} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}
$$

disclosed the related cross-coupling reaction of aldehyde homoenolates (eq 2).

We reason that in certain contexts the use of homoenolate disconnections avoids some problems associated with more traditional approaches. For instance, the synthesis of the γ -arylated ketone¹³ shown below via a traditional enolate alkylation approach (eq 3) would be difficult due to the

ACS Publications

unfavorable pK_a profile of the substrate.¹⁴ In contrast, the use of a homoenolate disconnection (eq 4) circumvents the problem of regioselective enolization. Furthermo[re](#page-3-0), cyclopropanols are readily prepared¹⁵ and benzyl ha[lid](#page-0-0)es are widely available, making this disconnection attractive. In this paper, we report the first cross-coupli[ng](#page-3-0) reaction of cyclopropanol-derived homoenolates with benzylic electrophiles.^{16,17}

Our mechanistic model for the proposed cross-coupling reaction involves (i) oxidative additi[on o](#page-3-0)f a $Pd(0)$ catalyst to the benzyl halide, (ii) exchange of halogen for cyclopropanol (ligand exchange), (iii) palladium-catalyzed β -carbon elimination to give a palladium homoenolate, and (iv) reductive elimination (Scheme 1).

Scheme 1. Mechanistic Model for the Cross-Coupling of Cyclopropanols with Benzyl Halides

It is important to note that base is required to consume the acid generated during the ligand exchange step, although it is unclear if deprotonation of the cyclopropanol occurs prior to or after complexation to palladium. We speculate that formation of the homoenolate occurs via β -carbon elimination¹⁸ given the difficulty in ring-opening O-protected cyclopropanols with palladium (II) intermediates.¹⁹ Finally, the ligand [on](#page-3-0) palladium must favor reductive elimination rather than β -hydride elimination to yield the desi[red](#page-3-0) product.

Our approach to reaction optimization benefited from our previous experience with cyclopropanol cross-coupling reactions (Table 1). We decided to use X-Phos, a bulky, electron-rich monodentate phosphine²⁰ as the ligand for palladium. In addition, $Cs₂CO₃$ was chosen as the base since it does not promote basecatalyzed ring-opening [of t](#page-3-0)he cyclopropanol to the corresponding ketone, even at elevated temperatures.²¹ Treatment of a mixture of readily prepared phenethyl cyclopropanol and p-methylbenzyl chloride $(1:1 \text{ ratio})$ with a catalytic s[yst](#page-3-0)em consisting of a $Pd(0)$ source and X-Phos in toluene at 80 °C provided the coupled product in excellent yield (entry 1). However, with different benzyl chlorides this system provided variable results. We speculated that the solubility of the base plays an important role in the success of this reaction, and switched to THF as the solvent, which provided good yields of product (entry 2). The use of $Pd(OAc)$ ₂ instead of Pd₂dba₃ also provided the coupled product in excellent yield and obviated the need to separate dba from the reaction product (entry 3). This catalyst system was effective at low loadings (entry 4). A mixture of THF and toluene allowed us to conduct the reaction at 80 °C, which resulted in a further improvement in yield (entry 5). Using the same solvent system, we also tested the use of Table 1. Reaction Optimization^{a,b}

^aAll reactions conducted at 0.1 M concentration of cyclopropanol. b
Yield of isolated products. Conducted using 50 mg of cyclopropanol.
 $\frac{d}{dx}$ Conducted using 100 mg of cyclopropanol. Conducted using Conducted using 100 mg of cyclopropanol. ^e Conducted using 300 mg of cyclopropanol.

 $J: 0\% (30\%)$ K: 0% (45%) $L: 0\% (0\%)$ ^a All reactions conducted using 150 mg (0.93 mmol) of cyclopropanol at 0.1 M concentration. ^{by}Yield of isolated products.

 $CF₃$

Buchwald's X-Phos precatalyst system.²² At 60 °C, no product formation was observed, and we attribute this to lack of catalyst formation (entry 6). At 80 °C, this sy[ste](#page-3-0)m provides the desired product, albeit in lower yields than all the other systems assayed.

I: 11% (26%)

 $NO₂$

The conditions outlined in entry 5 were used for substrate scope studies.

Scheme 2 shows that a range of functionalized benzyl chlorides $(A-E)$ yield the corresponding γ -arylated ketones in good-toexcellent y[ie](#page-1-0)ld (1A−1E). The reaction can be conducted on a gram scale with no reduction in yield $(1A)$. The use of electronpoor benzyl halides results in decreased yields as the electronwithdrawing ability of the substituents (1F to 1I, 1K and 1L) or the number of substituents (1J) increases. This problem can be ameliorated somewhat by using dioxane as the solvent (1G to 1K), although the same trend is observed.

Unfortunately, the use of electron-poor heterocycles does not yield the cross-coupling product. This observation is consistent with the trend observed in Scheme 2. A competition experiment using our initial optimization substrate (p-methyl benzyl chloride) and 3-chloromethylpyridine provid[ed](#page-1-0) the cross-coupled product with the benzyl chloride in good yield, suggesting that the inability to couple electron-poor heterocycles is not a result of catalyst poisoning (eq 5).

incompatible electrophiles

Scheme 3. Cyclopropanol Scope^{a,b}

a Reactions conducted using 46−100 mg of cyclopropanol at 0.1 M concentration. ^b Yield of isolated products.

Finally, we have also shown that a number of cyclopropanols (2−9) participate in this reaction to give the cross-coupled products (2A−9A) in good yields (Scheme 3).

In summary, we have developed the first palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling reaction of cyclopropanols with benzylic halides. This reactions proceeds in good yields with a range of cyclopropanols and electron-rich and electron-neutral benzyl halides. The reaction can be conducted with low catalyst low loadings (1% Pd) and on a gram scale with no reduction in yield. The yields diminish when electron-poor benzyl halides are used, and the cross-coupling of heterobenzyl halides is not yet possible. The reason for this reduction in yield with electron-poor benzyl halides is unclear and will be the subject of future studies.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

6 Supporting Information

Experimental procedures and compound characterization data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http:// pubs.acs.org.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: aorellan@yorku.ca.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge the support of this work from York University, the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) of Canada, and Boehringer−Ingelheim Canada, Ltd. We also thank Professor Michael Organ of York University for generous sharing of resources.

■ REFERENCES

(1) Seebach, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1979, 18, 239.

(2) For a concise introduction to homoenolates, see: (a) Lettan, R. B. II; Galliford, C. V.; Woodward, C. C.; Scheidt, K. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 8805. For reviews on homoenolate chemistry, see: (b) Werstiuk, N. H. Tetrahedron 1983, 39, 205. (c) Kuwajima, I. Pure Appl. Chem. 1988, 60, 115. (d) Hoppe, D.; Kramer, T.; Schwark, J.-R.; Zschage, O. Pure Appl. Chem. 1990, 62, 1999. (e) Crimmins, M. T.; Nantermet, P. G. Org. Prep. Proced. Int. 1993, 25, 41.

(3) (a) Nickon, A.; Lambert, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1962, 84, 4004. (b) Shiner, C. S.; Berks, A. H.; Fisher, A. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 957.

(4) For an example, see: Bü chi, G.; Wü est, H. J. Org. Chem. 1969, 34, 1122.

(5) Young, I. S.; Baran, P. S. Nat. Chem. 2009, 1, 193.

(6) Reviews on NHC homoenolate chemistry, see (a) Nair, V.; Vellalath, S.; Babu, N. P. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 2961. (b) Nair, V.; Menon, R. S.; Biju, A. T.; Sinu, C. R.; Paul, R. R.; Jose, A.; Sreekumar, V. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 5336. (c) Izquierdo, J.; Hutson, G. E.; Cohen, D. T.; Scheidt, K. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 11686.

(7) (a) Schweinitz, A.; Chtchemelinine, A.; Orellana, A. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 232. (b) Chtchemelinine, A.; Rosa, D.; Orellana, A. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 9157.

(8) For lead references to palladium homoenolate chemistry, see: (a) Tamaru, Y.; Ochiai, H.; Nakamura, T.; Yoshida, Z. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1987, 26, 1157. (b) Aoki, S.; Fujimura, T.; Nakamura, E.; Kuwajima, I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 3296. (c) Fujimura, T.; Aoki, S.; Nakamura, E. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 2809. (d) Sandrock, D. L.; Jean-Gérard, L.; Chen, C.; Dreher, S. D.; Molander, G. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 17108. (e) Shabashov, D.; Daugulis, O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 3965. (f) Lee, J. C. H.; McDonald, R.; Hall, D. Nat. Chem.

2011, 3, 894. (g) Shen, Z.-L.; Goh, K. K. K.; Wong, C. H. A.; Yang, Y.-S.; Lai, Y.-C.; Cheong, H.-L.; Loh, T.-P. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 4778. (h) Aspin, S.; Goutierre, A.-S.; Larini, P.; Jazzar, R.; Baudoin, O. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 10808. (i) Leskinen, M. V.; Yip, K.-T.; Valkonen, A.; Pihko, P. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 5750. (j) Parida, B. B.; Das, P. P.; Niocel, M.; Cha, J. K. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 1780. (k) He, J.; Wasa, M.; Chan, K. S. L.; Yu, J.-Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 3387. (l) Zhang, S.-Y.; Li, Q.; He, G.; Nack, W. A.; Chen, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 12135. See also refs 10 and 12.

(9) The use of palladium homoenolates in carbon−carbon bondforming reactions has been reviewed: Nithiy, N.; Rosa, D.; Orellana, A. Synthesis 2013, 45, 3199.

(10) Rosa, D.; Orellana, A. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 110.

(11) Rosa, D.; Orellana, A. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 5420.

(12) Cheng, K.; Walsh, P. J. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 2298.

(13) γ-Arylated ketones can also be prepared by cross-coupling of aryl halides with tert-cyclobutanols: Ziadi, A.; Correa, A.; Martin, R. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 4286.

(14) The pK_a values of methyl benzyl ketone and methyl ethyl ketone in DMSO solution are 19.9 and 24.8, respectively: Bordwell, F. G. Acc. Chem. Res. 1988, 21, 456.

(15) Representative methods: (a) Furukawa, J.; Kawabata, N.; Nishimura, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1966, 7, 3353. (b) Kulinkovich, O. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 2597. (c) Cheng, K.; Carroll, P.J.; Walsh, P.J. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 2346.

(16) Palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions of benzyl halide electrophiles are significantly less developed than those with aryl halide electrophiles. For reviews, see: (a) Trost, B. M.; Czabaniuk, L. C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 2826. (b) Kuwano, R. Synthesis 2009, 1049. (c) Liegault, B.; Renaud, J.-L.; Bruneau, C. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 290.

(17) Cross-coupling reactions with benzyl bromide and benzyl iodide provided the coupled products in lower yield (67% and 72%, respectively) than benzyl chloride.

(18) Ring opening of cyclopropanols via β -carbon elimination of the palladium-bound cyclopropoxide was proposed by Cha. Park, S.-B.; Cha, J. K. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 147.

(19) See ref 8c.

(20) Tomori, H.; Fox, J. M.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 5334.

(21) $Cs₂CO₃$ $Cs₂CO₃$ $Cs₂CO₃$ was chosen after a qualitative assessment of the ringopening of cyclopropanols with a variety of bases in refluxing toluene. (22) Biscoe, M. R.; Fors, B. P.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 6686.

■ NOTE ADDED AFTER ASAP PUBLICATION

Schemes 2 and 3 were corrected and the SI was replaced on November 21, 2014.